Περίς, πηρίς and περίναιος

Περίς, πηρίς y περίναιος

Oliver Simkin

University of Cambridge oliver.simkin@cantab.net

This article discusses the etymology of Greek $\pi\epsilon\rho$ ivaιος (also $\pi\epsilon\rho$ ivaιον, $\pi\epsilon\rho$ ivaιον, $\pi\epsilon\rho$ ivaιον) 'perineum'. The etymological dictionaries endorse Meister's derivation from the medical term iváω 'evacuate, purge', but this is unlikely to be correct. Instead, it appears to be a derivative of $\pi\epsilon\rho$ ic 'penis', with possible contamination from $\pi\eta\rho$ ic 'scrotum'.

Key words: Greek etymology; Greek vocabulary; medical vocabulary; semantic contamination; semantic development; word-formation; word-families; etymology in antiquity; ghost-words.

En este artículo se discute la etimología de gr. περίναιος (con varientes περίναιον, περίνειος, περίνειον) 'perineo'. Los diccionarios etimológicos aprueban la derivación de Meister del término médico ivá ω 'evacuar, purgar', pero esta es poco convincente. En cambio se intenta demostrar que es un derivativo de περίς 'pene', quizá con contaminación semántica de πηρίς 'escroto'.

Palabras clave: etimología griega; vocabulario griego; vocabulario médico; contaminación semántica; desarrollo semántico; formación de palabras; familias de palabras; la etimología en la antigüedad; palabras fantasma.

The etymological dictionaries of Boisacq, Frisk, Chantraine and Beekes give near-identical explanations for π ερίναιος (also π ερίναιον, π ερίνεος, π ερίνεον) 'perineum'! they endorse Meister's derivation from π ερί 'around' and the medical term iνάω 'evacuate, purge', and mention the possibility of secondary contamination with π ήρα 'pouch' and its derivative π ηρίς or π ηρίν 'scrotum'.

Although Meister's article contains various untenable claims – it goes on to allege a connection with ivvó ς 'mule' and ivv ς 'child', and is described by Frisk as «im einzelnen abweichend und verfehlt»⁴ – his explanation for $\pi\epsilon\rho$ iv α vov seems plausible

FIISK 19/2

¹ Boisacq 1950, p. 773, Frisk 1972, p. 513, Chantraine 2009, p. 855, Beekes 2010, p. 1177.

² Meister 1893, p. 141. More precisely, he takes περίναιον as a derivative of περίνος (Hesych.), which would in turn be derived not from iváω itself, but from its lost nominal base.

³ Also noted by Meister 1893, p. 140.

⁴ Frisk 1972.

enough at first sight. Like ivάω itself, περίναιος is a technical term, and a connection with περί (perhaps as 'area around the evacuator or genitals')⁵ seems semantically appealing⁶, especially given the parallel with περιτόναιον 'peritoneum', another technical word which is found in close proximity to περίναιος in medical texts. However, a new piece of evidence makes Meister's theory unworkable.

The new evidence comes from Löffler's suggestion for a difficult and characteristically obscene fragment of Hipponax about a man who eats fruit and then masturbates. The line in question, fr: 78.14, is given in the editions as καὶ τῷ κιμαίῳ τόν[δε] ῥῖνα φοινίξα[ς, with Adrados' restoration of τόνδε. Since ῥίς is feminine, the phrase was taken to mean «and staining this thing red at the tip with mulberry-juice», with τόνδε perhaps accompanied by a gesture to the crotch⁷. Löffler's solution is to read τὸν <math>[π]ερῖνα φοινίξα[ς, with the accusative of a masculine noun <math>περίς or περίν. He notes the Hesychian gloss $περίνα \cdot περίναιον \cdot τὸ αἰδοῖον · ἀφ' οὖ καὶ τὸ <math>περαίνεσθαι$, which can be corrected to περῖνα and may well refer to this very line of Hipponax, which now makes perfect sense as 'staining his penis/genitals with mulberry-juice'.

This new addition to the Greek lexicon, $\pi\epsilon\rho$ i($\pi\epsilon\rho$ i($\tau\epsilon\rho$

⁵ Beekes 2010 takes the semantics differently, as «empty region». However, this seems less likely, and could conceivably even be a mistranslation of Chantraine's «la région par où le corps se vide».

⁶ Cf. the Suda's definition of ὄσγεος as ὁ περὶ τὰ αἰδοῖα τόπος.

⁷ West 1974, p. 143. This putative real-world reference would have to be generic rather than specific, since the stainer of the poem is not the poet himself. We can compare E., *Cyc*. 169, Ar., *Au*. 442-443, but there is no need for the tentative suggestion of West 1974, p. 30, that Hipponax must have performed the poem wearing a comedy phallus.

⁸ Löffler 1999, p. 36, endorsed by Hawkins 2013, p. 99.

⁹ Meister 1893, pp. 140-141, posits a nom. sg. πέρινα on the basis of περίνα in Hsch. and πήρινα in Gal. 19.130. However, these are better taken as accusatives περίνα and πηρίνα.

 $^{^{10}}$ Gal. 19.130 has περιν $\tilde{\phi}$ · τ $\tilde{\phi}$ περινέ ϕ , and there is the Hesychian gloss περίνος · τ $\tilde{\phi}$ αἰδοῖον. οἱ δὲ τὸν καυλόν, $\tilde{\eta}$ τὸ διδύμων δέρμα, ἤγουν $\tilde{\phi}$ ταῦρος, where the different translations support the idea that this was a genuine form.

¹¹ Buck and Petersen 1944, p. 45, mention ὁδαῖος (Hom.+), δρομαῖος (S.+), εἰκαῖος (S.+), and the process becomes more productive in later Greek.

very rarely of female anatomy, and usually seems to be understood as a purely male body-part¹². Furthermore, in Aristotle's Generation of Animals – one of the earliest attestations of the word – π ερίνεος appears to have a more general meaning 'male genitals'¹³, which would be yet more evidence against Meister's etymology and in favour of a derivation from π ερίς.

For περίς itself, the simplest analysis is that it represents a derivative of the root *per- 'pierce', as found in πείρω, περάω, περόνη and περαίνω¹⁴. As the aforementioned Hesychian gloss for περίνα shows, the verb περαίνω is sometimes used of sexual penetration (hence the proverbial line from comedy, οὐδεὶς κομήτης ὅστις οὐ περαίνεται)¹⁵. However, rather than 'penetrator', it is more likely that περίς originally meant simply 'pointed object', with a secondary meaning 'penis' (which in this case apparently ousted the primary sense). At least, this is what we usually find in the numerous parallels such as Eng. *prick*, *yard*, Danish *pik*, Breton *kalc'h* and the like¹⁶.

We therefore have a new word $\pi\epsilon\rho$ i ζ , complete with a plausible etymology. However, there is still the question of whether there was any contamination with $\pi\eta\rho\alpha$ and its derivative $\pi\eta\rho$ i ζ 'scrotum'. To investigate this possibility, it is worth looking at the two word-families together.

πήρα, Ion. πήρη, allegedly also πάρη f. (Od.+) 'bag, pouch, purse' ¹⁷. πηρίς or πηρίν m. (Nic.) 'scrotum', but also glossed as 'penis', 'tip of the

¹² E.g. Gal. 4.158, 222, 19.130.

¹³ Arist. GA 716°33, 766°5. An additional meaning 'sperm ducts' is attested for the plural in Ps.-Zonar. περιναῖοι· φλεβάδες. πόροι. οἵτινες ἐπὶ μὲν τῶν ἐχόντων αἰδοῖον εἰς αὐτὸ τὸ αἰδοῖον ἐξάγουσι τὸ σπέρμα, ἐκ δὲ τούτων διαδεξάμενον τὸ αἰδοῖον ἀφίησιν εἰς τὸ θῆλυ. This is taken from the commentary of John Philoponus on Aristotle's Generation of Animals (*In libros de generatione animalium commentaria* 14.3.5.13), but is possibly just an attempt to reconcile the standard meaning of περίνεος with the divergent meaning in Aristotle (where the use of the plural περίνεοι in GA 716°33 has no special significance, being merely the counterpart of ὑστέραι). As such, it may not represent a genuine additional sense.

¹⁴ The PIE root **per*- has a more general meaning 'cross, traverse', as seen in πόρος; the meaning 'pierce' is a secondary specialisation which is also found in Slavic (Rix et al. 2001, p. 472, cf. Pokorny 1959, p. 816).

¹⁵ Published by Kock as *Com.Adesp.* 14; this sense of π εραίνω is also found in Artemidorus, Diogenes Laertius and the anonymous epigram *AP* 11.339.

¹⁶ Further examples are provided in Buck 1949, p. 258.

¹⁷ This common Greek word also forms a diminutive πηρίδιον (Ar.+) and various compounds: πηρόδετος 'attached to a pouch' (Anth., of a carrying strap), πηροφόρος 'carrying pouches' (Hesych., of miners), πηρώνυμος 'named after a pouch' (Tzetzes, of Paris), αὐτοπηρίτης 'beggar, indigent' (Menippus Phil.), ἀσκοπήρα 'knapsack' (Ar. *fr*., Diphilus Com.) and σακκοπήρα 'knapsack' (Apollodorus Com., Inscr.). The *EM* also cites the compounds ἄπηρος, κακόπηρος, μονόπηρος.

```
penis', 'testicle', 'genitals', 'perineum', 'anus'. <sup>18</sup>
περίς or περίν m. (Hippon.) 'penis', but also glossed as 'perineum'. <sup>19</sup>
περίνος m. (Hesych., Hdn.) 'penis, scrotum'. <sup>20</sup>
περινός m. or περινόν n. (dub. in Hp. apud Gal.) 'perineum'. <sup>21</sup>
περίναιος m., περίναιον n. (Med.) 'perineum'.
περίνεος m., περίνεον n. (Hp.+) 'perineum', apparently also 'male genitals' (Arist., GA 716°33, 766°5).
περίνιον n. (Meletius) 'perineum'. <sup>22</sup>
περίναιοι or περιναῖοι m.pl. (Phlp., Ps.-Zonar.) 'sperm ducts'. <sup>23</sup>
πειρήν m. (Tzetz., Psell.) 'penis', with secondary nom. πειρῆνα (Ps.-Psell.), πιρρίνα (Sanguinatius). <sup>24</sup>
πηρῖνος m. (Ps.-Zonar.) 'penis'. <sup>25</sup>
```

The claim in Overduin 2015, p. 399, that π ερίς and π ηρίς are variants of a single word seems to be incorrect, since in their actual attestations in Hipponax and

¹⁸ Vita-Scholium on Nic., *Th.* 586a: πηρῖνα · ποτὲ μὲν τὸ ἀγγεῖον τῶν διδύμων, ποτὲ δὲ τὸ ἄκρον τῶν αἰδοίων, ἐξ ὧν αἱ προέσεις γίνονται; ibid. 586b: πηρῖνα · τὸν ὅρχιν; Suda πηρίν, πηρῖνος · τὸ αἰδοῖον; Gennadius Scholarius *Grammatica* 2.476.33: πηρίν πηρῖνος, τὸ αἰδοῖον; Hesych. πηρίς · ὅσχη, κήλη, αἰδοῖον. καὶ ἰερείου ταυρία (for κήλη cf. Gal. 19.448.15: πᾶς γὰρ ὅγκος ἐν ὀσχέφ κήλη λέγεται). A different accentuation is found in Erot. 111: πηνιρά (sic) · τὸν ὅσχεόν φησιν οὕτω καλεῖσθαι Ἀντίγονος ὁ γραμματικὸς παρὰ τὸ ὡς ἐν πήρα εἶναι, and Gal. 19.130: πήρινα · τὸν περίναιον, ἐν δὲ τῷ περὶ αἰμορροίδων καὶ συρρίγγων καὶ τὴν ἕδραν λέγειν δοκεῖ. As already seen by Foes 1588, p. 504, both of these citations represent the accusative πηρῖνα. However, πηρῖνα was apparently later taken as a nom. sg. and confused with πειρῆνα (v.l. πιρινά); cf. Daremberg 1854, p. 14, Trapp et al. 2007, p. 1253.

 $^{^{19}}$ Hsch. περίνα · περίναιον. τὸ αἰδοῖον. ἀφ' οὖ καὶ τὸ περαίνεσθαι. As discussed above, we should probably read περίνα.

²⁰ Hsch. περίνος · τὸ αἰδοῖον. οἱ δὲ τὸν καυλόν, ἢ τὸ διδύμων δέρμα, ἤγουν ὁ ταῦρος. Hdn. II 567.8: περίνος τὸ αἰδοῖον. A variant πέριλος or πέριλλος is also attested (Trapp et al. 2007, p. 1277, Daremberg 1854, p. 14), but in Sanguinatius *Poem. de corp.part.* 47 this refers to the female genitalia rather than the male.

²¹ Gal. 19.130: περινώ· τῷ περινέω, endorsed by Foes 1588, p. 497.

²² Melet., *De nat.hom.* 98.31 τὸ δὲ ὑποκάτω τῶν διδύμων περίνιον.

²³ As discussed above, this is possibly a ghost-sense invented to explain the use of περίνεοι in Arist., GA 716°33.

²⁴ Tz., Schol. in Arist. Pl. 648: περαίνειν δὲ καὶ τὸ συνουσιάζειν δηλοῖ. πειρήν γὰρ πολλὰ μὲν ἄλλα σημαίνει, ἀλλὰ καὶ τὸ αἰδοῖον. Psell., Poemata 6.463: τὸ δὲ ἀνδρῷον μόριον πειρῆνά που καλοῦσι (v.l. πιρινά, πίρινα). In the spurious 61.30 this is used as a nominative πειρῆνα or πιρίνα, which is also found in Sanguinatius, Poem. de corp.part. 46 in the form πιρρίνα.

²⁵ Ps.-Zonar. πηρῖνος· τὸ αἰδοῖον.

Nicander their meanings are clearly different. However, there does seem to be some contamination at work. There are two possible signs of this: firstly, the apparent semantic crossing between the two word-families, and secondly, the fact that derivatives in $-i\zeta$ - $ivo\zeta$ are not particularly common, which makes the co-existence of $\pi\eta\rho i\zeta$ and $\pi\epsilon\rho i\zeta$ somewhat suspicious.

It is worth noting that the best evidence for semantic contamination comes from the glosses of the scholiasts, grammarians and lexicographers, and the Byzantine confusion of $\pi\epsilon\iota\rho\tilde{\eta}\nu\alpha$ and $\pi\eta\rho\tilde{\iota}\nu\alpha$. If we disregard these, the main piece of evidence is the fact that $\pi\epsilon\rho\dot{\iota}\nu\alpha\iota\sigma\varsigma$ seems to have been thought of as 'the area by the scrotum'²⁶. This does not seem conclusive in itself, since $\pi\epsilon\rho\dot{\iota}\nu\alpha\iota\sigma\varsigma$ could just mean 'the area by the genitals'. However, the similarity of $\pi\eta\rho\dot{\iota}\varsigma$ and $\pi\epsilon\rho\dot{\iota}\varsigma$, together with the evidence from the glosses, suggests that contamination may well have been involved both in the formation of $\pi\eta\rho\dot{\iota}\varsigma$ and in the semantics of $\pi\epsilon\rho\dot{\iota}\nu\sigma\varsigma$ and $\pi\epsilon\rho\dot{\iota}\nu\alpha\iota\sigma\varsigma$.

In all other respects, the morphology and derivational pattern of both word-families is entirely straightforward. The individual semantic developments involved are equally commonplace: for example, 'pouch' is cross-linguistically by far the most frequent etymological source for words for scrotum. Sometimes the word for scrotum is a derivative, as here for $\pi\eta\rho$ ic from π ipa; in other cases the same word is simply reapplied, sometimes with a specification 'pouch of the testes'. Further shifts in meaning from 'scrotum' to 'testicle(s)' or a more general 'genitals' are also common; an additional development to 'penis' is not unknown, but is considerably rarer²⁷.

The following parallels show how widespread these developments are. From Latin we have $scr\bar{o}tum$ itself, generally identified with scrautum 'leather pouch for arrows' and with some apparent connection to scortum 'skin, hide'²⁸; this illustrates an additional pathway, leather > leather pouch > scrotum, which is also found in Welsh cwd. 'Leather' was also the original sense of ON $hre\check{o}jar$ 'scrotum', which shows a further development to 'testicle' in Danish redde. The development to 'testicle' reoccurs in German Hode, apparently cognate with Welsh cwd. Latin bursa 'leather pouch' (a loan from Greek $β\acute{o}ρσα$ 'leather') provides a new word for scrotum in Romance languages, as seen in Port. bolso 'coat pocket, scrotum' alongside bolsa 'bag'. The further development to 'testicle' is seen in Rom. bos, boaşe 'testicles'²⁹. Polish moszna and Slovene mošnja mean both 'pouch' and 'scrotum', but Russian has mošna 'pouch', mošonka 'scrotum', while in Serbian, 'scrotum' is

²⁶ E.g. Melet., *De nat.hom.* 98.31 τὸ δὲ ὑποκάτω τῶν διδύμων περίνιον; Gal. 19.130: περινῷ · τῷ περινέῳ. ἔστι δὲ ὁ τόπος ὁ μεταξὺ τοῦ ὀσχέου καὶ τῆς ἔδρας.

²⁷ The development to 'penis' is occasionally found for Eng. *cod* and French *couille*; cf. dial. Hungarian *mony* 'egg, testicle, penis'.

²⁸ Adams 1982, pp. 74-75.

²⁹ Adams 1982, p. 76.

mošnice. Icelandic has pungur 'pouch, scrotum'; Norwegian kodd shows the full range of meanings 'pillow, scrotum, testicle', while OSw. kodde and Dutch kodde have become specialised as 'testicle'. Early modern English cod 'purse, scrotum' also shows this additional development, as seen in the plural cods, coddes 'testicles'. The same development is found in English cullions (from French couillons) and Spanish cojones from Latin cōleī 'testicles', apparently related to culleus 'leather sack'³⁰. Outside Indo-European, we have Finnish kivespussi 'pouch of the testes', but also the colloquial pussit 'scrotum' and munapussit, literally 'egg-pouches' (compare colloq. English nut-sack, ball-bag). Northern Saami buddá 'scrotum, testicle' is also from an original 'pouch'; additional examples are Yurak Nenets pāda 'pouch, scrotum', and from the Kiranti languages of Nepal, Dumi phoksi 'bag, scrotum', Yamphu cabra 'bag, scrotum'³¹.

To conclude our collection of parallels for the development pouch > scrotum, we can note that Greek itself shows the same development for other words for pouch. For ὄσχη (also ὄσχεος, ὄσχεον, ὀσχέα), the technical term for scrotum in medical literature, there is the Hesychian gloss ὄσχεα· βαλλάντια, μαρσύππια ἥ τὸ τῶν διδύμων ἀγγεῖον³², which suggests that the meaning 'pouch' was primary. The word βαλάντιον ~ βαλλάντιον is also cited as a term for the scrotum in Theophilus Protospatharius: περιέχονται οὖν οἱ ὄρχεις ὑπό τινος δέρματος ἐοικότος θυλάκφ· τοῦτο βαλάντιον ὀνομάζεται, ὑπὸ δὲ τῶν ἀνατομικῶν ἰατρῶν ὄσχεος³³. Also, in the Hippiatrica we find κώρυκος and θυλάκη as words for the scrotum of a horse³⁴. Finally, an anonymous reviewer kindly points me to an article by Méndez Dosuna, where it is suggested that Aristophanes and Euripides play on an alternative meaning 'scrotum' for both πήρα and θύλακος³⁵.

As mentioned above, there are equally convincing parallels for the development from 'pointed object' to 'penis', which supports the analysis of $\pi\epsilon\rho\dot{}_{\zeta}$ as a formation from the root of $\pi\epsilon\dot{}_{\rho}$ 'pierce' and $\pi\epsilon\rho\dot{}_{\nu}$ 'pin', with the same suffix seen in $\gamma\lambda\omega\chi\dot{}_{\zeta}$ 'pointed end or blade, barb'. It is conceivable that the later derivative $\pi\epsilon\rho\dot{}_{\nu}$ (conceivable that

 $^{^{30}}$ The problem in the correspondence may be a sign that this is a loanword: de Vaan 2008, pp. 124, 150.

³¹ These last examples were gathered from the online databases at http://www.starling.rinet.ru.

³² Beekes 2010, p. 1122, charmingly translates this as «bags, purses or a sack of twins (sens. obsc.)». In fact, δίδυμοι is a regular term for the testes in post-Classical Greek, as in Plu., *Alex.* 57.

³³ Theophilus Med., *De corporis humani fabrica* 5.28.20.

³⁴ *Hippiatr*. 73.1.

³⁵ Méndez Dosuna 2015, discussing πήρα at Ar., *Pl.* 298, θυλάκιον at Ar., *Ra.* 1203 and θύλακος at Ar., *Ec.* 820, *V.* 1087, E., *Cyc.* 182.

ening 'penis' > 'genitals'; in this case, the attestations of περίνεος, περίνεοι 'male genitals' in Aristotle's GA would provide the crucial missing link. However, it seems equally likely that the meanings of περίς and its derivatives were widened as the result of semantic contamination with πηρίς 'scrotum'. This is mirrored by the Byzantine attestations of πειρήν (most commonly found as πειρῆνα), which also means 'penis' and is apparently also from the 'pierce' root (cf. πειρά 'sword-point'), but is hopelessly confused with πηρῖνα in the manuscripts 37 .

The other base-word of these two word-families, $\pi \eta \rho \alpha$ 'pouch', still has no clear etymology. The eta appears to be from *ē rather than *ā, since the dialect form is ἀ $\pi \eta \rho \alpha$ (if we can trust Theocritus, who is usually reliable in such matters). However, a supposed Ionic variant $\pi \acute{\alpha} \rho \eta$ is mentioned twice by Eustathius, in his commentaries on the Iliad and Odyssey. This is puzzling, since the variation of $\pi \eta \rho \alpha$, $\pi \eta \rho \eta$, $\pi \acute{\alpha} \rho \eta$ does not fit any regular patterns of dialect correspondences. The only obvious comparison is the variation in ἀθάρη, ἀθήρα 'gruel', which even has a variant ἀθέρα (Phot.) or ἀθέρη (Gal.). However, the parallel is not exact, since we find ἀθάρη even in Attic (leading Beekes to posit *atʰarwā, with the same development as Att. δέρη, κόρη)³⁸. As for the later variant ἀθέρα or ἀθέρη, this is apparently merely the result of folk-etymological contamination with ἀθήρ ἀθέρος 'chaff, awn' and its thematised derivative ἀθέρα (Arist. fr. apud Ath., Physiologus).

In fact, further investigation suggests that πάρη is probably a ghost-word. Both of its attestations in Eustathius refer to the grammarian Heraclides, who apparently explained δάκνω as the result of a characteristic Ionic shortening of eta to alpha also found in μεσαμβρία (sic), πάρη, λελακυῖα and μεμακυῖα³9. The other forms mentioned here are all genuine, even if they do not form a coherent group as Heraclides believed. However, it is significant that when the same statement is repeated, without the ascription to Heraclides, in the Παρεκβολαὶ τοῦ μεγάλου ῥήματος spuriously attributed to Herodian, the pair πήρη πάρη is replaced by Πῆρις Πάρις⁴0. This is presumably connected with the widespread ancient etymology explaining the name of

 $^{^{36}}$ A quotation from Numenius in Ath., VII 74.6 mentions a fish or sea-creature called πειρήν, used as bait. This may be unrelated, or could be named after a perceived resemblance: compare *pintle* or *pintle-fish* (a Scottish word for sand-eels and other species of similar appearance), *penis-fish* (Urechis unicinctus) and *piss-cock* (a fishermen's name for sea-squirts), all of which refer to small species used as bait.

³⁷ Thus, in Ps.-Zonar. we find πηρῖνος · τὸ αἰδοῖον. The confusion led Daremberg 1854, p. 14, to emend πιρρίνα in Sanguinatius to πηρῖνα and translate it as 'scrotum, perineum'. However, as recognised by Trapp et al. 2007, p. 1253, it is more likely to be the same word as π ειρῆνα, πιρίνα 'penis' in Psell. and Ps.-Psell.

³⁸ Beekes 2010, p. 28.

³⁹ Eust. Comment. ad Il. I 47.1, Comment. ad Od. II 14.4.

⁴⁰ Ps.-Herod., Exc. Verb. 23.34.

Paris from $\pi\eta\rho\alpha$, supposedly from his being placed in a shepherd's pouch as a baby, when his parents attempted to get rid of him to foil the prophecy that he would bring ruin to Troy. The form $\Pi\eta\rho\iota\zeta$, which is attested nowhere else, may have been created purely on the basis of this etymology.

It seems likely that the alleged Ionic πάρη was also an ad hoc creation to justify the etymology which connected Πάρις with πήρα, Ion. πήρη. This would explain why the author of the Παρεκβολαί apparently invented a form Πῆρις, since if he had known of a variant πάρη the etymology would not have required any further justification. It is worth noting that the connection of Paris and πήρη is a well-known etymology which is frequently mentioned in the ancient commentaries, whereas the evidence for πάρη and Πῆρις is restricted to the three passages mentioned above. It is also worth remembering that ancient etymologies were often made on the basis of very vague resemblances, so the discrepancy in vocalism between πήρα and Πάρις would not have been a stumbling-block. In other words, the etymology does not in itself support the idea that πάρη was genuine, and may even have been responsible for its invention.

The modern approach to etymology cannot help us to answer this question, since $\pi\eta\rho\alpha$ has no clear cognates apart from Latin $p\bar{e}r\bar{o}$ 'a crude kind of boot (supposedly made from rawhide)', which is generally taken to be a loan from Greek (or rather, a derivative of the Latin borrowing $p\bar{e}ra$), with a straightforward semantic shift from 'leather pouch' to 'crude leather boot'. Apart from this, there are apparently no good candidates for relatives outside Greek: the resemblance between $\pi\eta\rho$ ic $\pi\eta\rho$ ivoc and Hitt. paršinuš 'genitals' is coincidental (the Hittite word's primary meanings seem to be 'cheeks, buttocks, hips'), and a connection between $\pi\eta\rho\alpha$ and Alb. porrc 'cow's stomach' seems even less likely⁴¹.

Since there is no apparent etymology, it is worth considering the suggestion that $\pi\eta\rho\alpha$ is a loanword, perhaps from a local substratum. The semantics of $\pi\eta\rho\alpha$ tie in rather well with this possibility, since from its first attestation in the Odyssey it refers to a beggar's pouch or shepherd's knapsack, which is not just a characteristic item of material culture (a field where borrowings are common), but one especially associated with rural life.

Furnée, who went even further than Beekes in his quest for substratum borrowings in Greek, not only identified $\pi\eta\rho\alpha$ as a classic example of a Pre-Greek word, but sought to connect it with $\beta\eta\rho\delta\epsilon\zeta$, $\pi\epsilon\rho\delta\bar{\rho}\delta\epsilon\zeta$, $\pi\epsilon\rho\delta\bar{\rho}\delta\epsilon\zeta$ 'a kind of shoes worn by women'⁴². This would potentially bring Latin $p\bar{e}r\bar{o}$ back into the equation as a

⁴¹ Orel 1998, p. 339, derives this from *porr* 'oven', although it is worth noting that it is also suspiciously similar to the Romani word for stomach, $porr \sim perr$, which is related to Hindi pet 'stomach'.

⁴² Furnée 1972, pp. 151-152.

vital source of evidence, rather than a mere secondary loan from Greek. This is perhaps a step too far: not only is the phonological resemblance less compelling, but βηρίδες and περιβαρίδες are often connected to βάρις 'a kind of boat or raft', which would take them further from the semantic field of our word-family. Thus, it is probably not worth pursuing this line of investigation. However, the idea that πήρα is a loanword makes good sense. Words for 'pouch' are very often borrowings, as is the case with Latin bursa, pēra and perhaps also culleus⁴³. Another good example is English sack (a true wanderwort, passing from Semitic into Greek σάκκος into Late Latin saccus). The picture is repeated within Greek itself: there are several words for pouches and sacks (e.g. ἀσκός, βαλλάντιον, γυλιός, θύλακος, κίβισις, κώρυκος, μάρσιππος ~ μάρσυππος, σάκκος, φάσκωλος, not to mention Hesychian glosses such as θαλλίς · μάρσιππος μακρός; θάλλικα · σάκκου εἶδος; μαλάνιον · σάκκος; σαγίς · πήρα; σύλαιον · θύλακον and the aforementioned ὄσχεα), but hardly any of them have a clear etymology, and there are often good reasons for thinking that they are borrowings. It seems likely that the same is true for πήρα.

This investigation of the Greek words for scrotum and perineum has confirmed that there are two separate word-families involved: one based on $\pi \dot{\eta} \rho \alpha$ 'pouch', a word of unknown and possibly substratal origin, and another based on $\pi \epsilon \rho i \zeta$ 'penis', apparently from the Indo-European root *per-. The medical term $\pi \epsilon \rho i \nu \alpha i \zeta$ / $\pi \epsilon \rho i \nu \alpha i$

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Adams, J. N. 1982: The Latin Sexual Vocabulary, London.

Beekes, R. 2010: Etymological Dictionary of Greek, Leiden.

Boisacq, É. 1950: Dictionnaire étymologique de la langue grecque, Heidelberg.

Buck, C. D. 1949: A Dictionary of Selected Synonyms in the Principal Indo-European Languages, Chicago.

Buck, C. D. and Petersen, W. 1944: A Reverse Dictionary of Greek Nouns and Adjectives, Chicago.

Chantraine, P. 2009: *Dictionnaire étymologique de la langue grecque: Histoire des mots*, Paris. Daremberg, Ch. 1854: «Suite du manuscrit Phillipp. MDXXIV», *Archives des missions scientifiques et littéraires* 3, pp. 1-16.

de Vaan, M. 2008: Etymological Dictionary of Latin and the other Italic languages, Leiden. Foes, A. 1588: Oeconomia Hippocratis alphabeti serie distincta, Frankfurt.

⁴³ De Vaan 2008, p. 150.

Frisk, H. 1972: Griechisches Etymologisches Wörterbuch, Heidelberg.

Furnée, E. 1972: Die wichtigsten konsonantischen Erscheinungen des Vorgriechischen, The Hague.

Hawkins, S. 2013: Studies in the Language of Hipponax, Bremen.

Löffler, D. 1999: «Zu Hipponax Fragment 78.14 Degani (78.14 West)», *Prometheus* 25, pp. 35-36.

Meister, R. 1893: «ἶνις und seine verwandten», KZ 32, pp. 136-147.

Méndez Dosuna, J. 2015: «El zurrón y las hierbas salvajes del Cíclope y un presunto hipotexto de Aristófanes, *Pluto* 290-301», *Dionysus ex machina* 6 http://www.dionysusexmachina.it/?cmd=articolo&id=186

Orel, V. 1998: Albanian Etymological Dictionary, Leiden.

Overduin, F. 2015: Nicander of Colophon's Theriaca: a literary commentary, Leiden.

Pokorny, J. 1959: Indogermanisches Etymologisches Wörterbuch, Bern.

Rix, H. et al. 2001: Lexikon der indogermanischen Verben, Wiesbaden.

Trapp, E. et al. 2007: Lexikon zur Byzantinischen Gräzität besonders des 9.-12. Jahrhunderts. 6. Faszikel (παλιγγενεσία – προσπελαγίζω), Vienna.

West, M. 1974: Studies in Greek Elegy and Iambus, Berlin.

Fecha de recepción de la primera version del artículo: 25/09/2015 Fecha de aceptación: 28/10/2015 Fecha de recepción de la version definitiva: 23/11/2015