SAPPHO AND THE ὅλισβος

In Sappho's compound ὀλισβοδόκος, the component ὀλισβο- denotes the penis coriaceus.

The publication of the papyrus text now constituting Sappho's fragment 99 Lobel-Page imparted a conclusive blow to the view held by those scholars who had thought that Sappho could not be accused «of any impropriety in the conduct of herself or her companions» (so D. Page, Sappho and Alcaeus, Oxford 1955, p. 144 ff.). Here is the part of the papyrus fragment containing the «crucial» evidence (so Page, op. cit., p. 145) offered by lines 4-5:

Page (loc. cit.) had to admit that «it is practically certain that ολισβ- is what was written», and the supplementation όλισβοδόκοις is regarded as inescapable. Since we cannot get rid of the ὅλισβος in the fragment under discussion, the only way open to the critics in order to preserve a puritanical vision of Sappho was to dream up a new meaning for ὅλισβος, and so West (Maia 22, 1970, p. 324) has suggested that «prima facie, the word [ὁλισβοδόκοισ(ι)] agrees with χόρδαισι, which would mean that ὅλισβος was once a synonym of πλήκτρον». Now, ὅλισβος in Greek means nothing but αἰδοῖον δερμάτινον (material in J. Rosenbaum, Geschichte der Lustseuche im Alterthume, seventh edition, Berlin 1904, p. 144; G. Vorberg, Glossarium eroticum, reprint Rome 1965, p. 407). Ὁλισβοδόκος, as correctly seen by Dover, cannot but mean «receiver of the ὅλισβος», i.e. is a word formed like φλεβοδόκος (K. Dover, Greek Homosex., London 1978, p. 176, n. 9). If, as seems probable, or at least prima facie possible; ὅλισβοδόκοισ(ι) agrees with χόρδαισι, this does

not mean that we must invent for ὅλισβος the new sense πλήμτρον, i.e. the new sense created by West: it simply means that we are faced with a common or garden case of adjectival enallage: the χορδαί of the instrument used by the player (for χόρδαισι διακρέκην cf. e. g. κρέκειν δόνακι A. P. XIV 231, 2) are called ὀλισβοδόκοι because the player herself was ὀλισβοδόκος (on this type of enallage cf. lastly my observations in L'Antiq. Class. 1977, p. 514 and Graz. Beitr. 1978, p. 72).

Dover (loc. cit.) is reluctant to regard the passage under discussion as «relevant to Sappho's homosexuality», because, according to him, the ολισβος «is associated essentially with solitary female masturbation». In reality, the ὅλισβος was a «Notbehelf», i. e. was used whenever the replacement of a real mentula was needed, that is to say, it was used not only for purposes of «Selbstbefriedigung», but also for purposes of «gleichgeschlechtliche Liebe» (Vorberg, op. cit., pp. 407, 68, 181; for instance, the third person from the left in the scene reproduced from a vase in Vorberg, op. cit., p. 408, is using the «Notbehelf» in question not for solitary purposes). Cf. Sud., s.u. ὅλισβος (as quoted in Rosenbaum, loc. cit.): the ὅλισβος was used not only by αὶ χῆραι γυναῖχες (evidently for solitary purposes), but also by female and male homosexuals, whom Sud. calls respectively τριβάδες and αἰσχρουργοί, for purposes of homosexual love; on such «Unzucht» indulged in by τριβάδες cf. Vorberg, op. cit., p. 181.

It seems, therefore, that the word ολισβοδόχοισ(ι) leaves us in no doubt as to what Sappho and her companions were up to, and confirms the ancient view that Sappho was a τριβάς in the sense that this word has in Vorberg, op. cit., p. 654.

GIUSEPPE GIANGRANDE